

**HARB BOARD MEETING
MAY 18TH, 2010 4:30 PM**

PRESENT:

STEVE GIFFORD, CHAIRMAN
LEE CALISTI
NANCY STEWART
AUTUMN DELELLIS
BARBARA CIAMPINI
PETE CICCAGLIONE

ABSENT:

BARBARA JONES

ALSO PRESENT: LOU DEROSE, SOLICITOR

Steve Gifford: We need to approve the April 20th, 2010 minutes. Does anyone have any comments or corrections? If not can I have a motion to approve the minutes?

Lee Calisti: I make a motion to approve the minutes.

Autumn DeLellis: I second the motion.

All were in favor.

OLD BUSINESS:

ITEM #1 305 W. Pittsburgh Street-Owner- Matthew Anderson-Applicant-Roger Dolanch/ Century 21 Frontier-Project-Signage

Steve Gifford: We have tabled this from last month and no one is in the room tonight. There is no update on the project so we need to retable this until next month. Can I have a motion to table this?

Barbara Ciampini: I make that motion.

Lee Calisti: I second the motion.

All were in favor.

Steve Gifford: Project is tabled until a future meeting.

NEW BUSINESS:

- 1.) 990 N. Main Street- Property Owner-990 N. Main Limited Partnership-Applicant-*990 N. Main Limited Partnership*-Project-Façade
- 2.) 259 W. Otterman Street-Property Owner-Sal Cerra/*Cerra Automotive*-Applicant-Sal Cerra-Project-Signage
- 3.) 251 & 259 W. Otterman Street-Property Owner-Sal Cerra/*Cerra Automotive*-Project-Demolition of both buildings on West Otterman Street.
- 4.) 101 Ehalt Street-Property Owner-Westmoreland Cultural Trust-Applicant-*The Supper Club*-Project-New sign

Item #1 990 N. Main Street-Property Owner-990 N. Main Limited Partnership-Applicant-990 N. Main Limited Partnership-Project-Façade

Barbara Ciampini: Steve, for the record you might want to mention that two more board members showed up.

Steve Gifford: Let the record show that Nancy Stewart and Pete Ciccaglione did arrive tonight for the meeting.

Barry Morris: I am an Architect with offices at 550 E. Pittsburgh Street, Greensburg. I am here tonight representing the business owner as his architect. Mr. Ray Charlie had planned on coming to the meeting tonight but had an emergency and was released from the hospital sometime today. Over the weekend he was admitted and just released so he was unable to attend. The reason I am here tonight is that the owner is considering re-facing the existing strip center which is on Route 66 which is in Greensburg. It contains the *Shop N Save* food store along with several other rental spaces. The entire center is owned by Mr. Charlie and it is their intention to provide a new façade to the existing center. The photos that you see up on the screen (he is pointing to the screen) this photograph is taken at the red light at the intersection of Locust Valley Road and Route 66. There is a *First National Bank* on the corner so you really don't see the building much until you go beyond the bank. This shot shows your approach beyond the bank and you start to see the center as you clear the bank, this is the view of the center that you actually see. I also included along with it this shot of the center which is basically what you see when you are exiting the City limits on Route 66. A lot of times the first impression is a lasting impression but if you happen to catch a glimpse of this, you will remember it again as you leave and this is what you're going to remember with these condensing units on top of the roof. These are enlarged photographs which were submitted to indicate generally the condition that the façade is in. It's pretty tired. It has a uniform rhythm of columns that become, in my opinion, somewhat monotonous because of the length of the building. This is a textured wood siding which in some areas is slightly delaminated and is in need of repair. The soffit area to the *Shop N Save* is made of the same basic materials attached to the bottom with lighting right in the center and if you look at the face of the façade this is artificial stucco board. I believe on here this is flaking and the *Shop N Save* is a stucco applied finish. It is our desire to change the front of this center and increase column widths in this location, the darker items you see are the columns. (pointing to the screen). The columns are basically in this location, and if you look at the floor plan the darker area where we are actually beefing up the columns, and one of the reasons we are beefing up the columns is because the existing roof other than at the *Shop N Save* drains directly through down spouts and elbows at the bottom and onto the parking lot. We're going to incorporate this water into these new columns and pipe it into the drainage system. We're trying to incorporate a couple things into this, the right side of the new façade and this is the left side (pointing to the screen) of the new façade. I don't know what happened to this slide but, it should actually show the same drawing down here, something happened in the technical reproduction. It was

probably on our disk. If you can flip to the next, these are the materials that we are proposing, this is a slight gray material and it is a synthetic stucco system and this is a whiter material, this is the color of the metal roofing that we are proposing and this is the signage for the *Shop N Save*. The signage for the other rental spaces will have to be submitted individual as they propose them. One of the spaces that are in there for sure is a franchise and I am not sure how much leeway they have on signage regarding their logo and things like that. For right now we are proposing the façade with the *Shop N Save* primarily. I have the actual materials with me if you want to see them. (He passes them out). This is a sample of the metal roof and this is the color of the sign which is red. This is a photo of the existing brick that we are going to try and match rather than re-brick the entire structure.

Nancy Stewart: Is this stuff actually what it looks like?

Barry Morris: That is what it actually looks like. It's not a standard brick either, it's a large oversized brick which makes it even more difficult to try and match. This is what we are proposing to do in color format. The gray areas will be right where I am pointing with the laser and the white areas will be at the top and the lower panels. The metal roofing will be in this section here; this is the gray synthetic stucco which was actually building walls up on top of the roof to screen in those condensing units that you can see from Route 66. This is what we have now, and this is what we are proposing. (pointing to the screen). I'll be happy to take any questions.

Steve Gifford: Any comments or questions from the board members?

Nancy Stewart: I know were not addressing the inside but is the supermarket staying on the same footprint as now or is it going further down?

Barry Morris: It is not being expanded at all.

Barbara Ciampini: Barry you show those two different colors and it seems that there is more delineation of color in your sketch than what you are showing us. Is it a dark gray?

Barry Morris: We did not want a real dark gray we wanted a lighter gray and just a little different so it wouldn't be the exact same shade the whole way up. We took a little licensing with the renderings and we could show where the gray is to the white but it will actually be those colors in front of you.

Steve Gifford: Any other thoughts or comments? I would say from my perspective being one of the board members I think that it is a good design for a strip plaza. While we have discouraged the use of stucco on certain buildings I do believe this setting is appropriate because it is a shopping plaza and an improvement to the whole plaza not just the *Shop N Save* section with the other areas being unimproved. The property owner should be commended for taking on a plan that updates the plaza and takes into consideration that they are the first property that people see when coming into the City

and it's a nice addition to what we are trying to achieve in our Gateways so from my perspective it's a good design and good proposal.

Nancy Stewart: Is there new lighting?

Barry Morris: Yes, I think we submitted it along with our original proposal. It will be mounted on the back side. This is more of a bulk head or header, right on the bottom and it extends up about a foot until you get to the flat ceiling that's in there. The lighting will be mounted on the backside so you won't see the lighting from the street but you will see a light source. (pointing to the screen). Right now it's there and we're going to try and mount it right along here. You really won't see it as you drive past.

Pete Ciccaglione: Barry, what are you proposing for underneath there?

Barry Morris: That will be an exterior gypsum drywall with a coating that will be textured similar to the appearance and it will be white.

Pete Ciccaglione: I think the project is real nice and we do try to shy away from synthetic but the building is mostly brick and I think it gives it a nice accent. I think it looks great.

Lee Calisti: This is a very suburban context; this is not out of place in this context. The building already has an analogous material but it's in poor shape but this is not a radical change from one material to another. He's being consistent with that and it will make a great improvement, more rhythm, breaking up the plains, shadow lines, all of that will make this a lot better. It will look really good.

Steve Gifford: Any thoughts or comments on that?

Barbara Ciampini: I just wanted to add to everyone else's comments that I agree, Mr. Charlie has shown his awareness to the gateway at both of his properties, the one on E. Pittsburgh Street that he did last year. He changed the parking lot there and the landscaping. I think it's great and he should be commended. He has two gateway properties that he making great strides in accenting the City's gateway. Please tell Mr. Charley that we appreciate his effort.

Steve Gifford: I think we can move forward. Can I have a motion to approve the project as presented?

Pete Ciccaglione: I make that motion.

Nancy Stewart: I second the motion.

All were in favor.

Steve Gifford: It has been approved as presented and the next step is Mayor and Council to review it and sign off on it so we can go ahead and authorize the project.

Barbara Ciampini: Correct. We will give you a compliance permit to whoever the contractor is that is doing the work.

Barry Morris: That is going to be a little while; we have to go through *Code. Sys* first.

Barbara Ciampini: Okay, so you've already talked to Les?

Barry Morris: Yes. Thank you very much.

Barbara Ciampini: One more thing Barry, the sign that was just for the *Sop N Save*, this board has given recommendation for, so if Mr. Charlie secures other tenants he will come back?

Barry Morris: Yes that is the plan. When we know what the signage will be exactly for the other tenants they will have to come back for review.

Barbara Ciampini: But there will be some type of conformity to what you've just designed?

Barry Morris: We're hoping that, yes.

Barbara Ciampini: So are we.

Barry Morris: First of all there is a Maytag Appliance store in there and I am not sure what their corporation requires for that.

Pete Ciccaglione: Are the Shop N Save sign going to be razed letters?

Barry Morris: Individual razed letters.

Pete Ciccaglione: Are they lit?

Barry Morris: It's the same sign that is there now. Thank you.

Item #2-259 W. Otterman Street-Property Owner-Sal Cerra/Cerra Automotive-Applicant-Sal Cerra-Project-Signage.

Bob Gonze: I am with *Sign-a -Rama* and I am here tonight representing *Cerra Automotive*. Kathy and Sal are here to answer any specific questions. They have asked us to prepare a sign for the existing sign at West Otterman Street at 259 West Otterman St. We are updating the look and want to match a sign that we prepared for them for their other building on Brown Street. In the upper left hand corner is the existing sign and in the lower right hand corner is the proposed sign(pointing to screen). We are going to remove the face, clean it up and apply new vinyl to both sides and then that sign will match the sign on Brown Street. There won't be any other additions to the pole or to the existing sign except for a refaced aluminum sign. Any questions?

Steve Gifford: Any questions or comments from the board?

Pete Ciccaglione: How tall is that pole?

Bob Gonze: I believe it's about 11 feet.

Pete Ciccaglione: How about a couple coats of black paint before he hangs it up, just sand it and paint it. It will look great.

Bob Gonze: The existing sign face, just to give you that perspective is 4X6, four (4) feet high six (6) feet wide.

Pete Ciccaglione: It would be a shame not to make the pole look new as well. The sign is great but you might as well make the pole look good too.

Steve Gifford: Any comments or questions? Can I have a motion to approve the sign as presented?

Lee Calisti: I make that motion.

Pete Ciccaglione: I second the motion.

All were in favor.

Item #3 251-259 W. Otterman Street-Property Owner-Sal Cerra/*Cerra Automotive*-Applicant-Sal Cerra-Project Demolition

Sal Cerra: If you don't know me I'm Sal and this is my wife Kathy. We need to raze 251 W. Otterman Street it's in disrepair. If we don't take it down it's going to fall down. It's in the historic gateway and what we would really like to do is raze 259 and 251 W. Otterman Street. 259 being the old gas station that I bought 15 years ago. I was initially going to put a façade on it, if the HARB would allow me to do that, I mean I would like to raze both of them. I have a used car dealership there that I would really like to clean it up but I realize I'm in the HARB district and I'll work with whoever I have to work with. Right now we really have to raze 251 W. Otterman because it's in bad shape. The roof is coming down. Initially I thought I would just put a façade on and put an addition onto 259 but I really would like to just raze 259 also and build something very nice, a nice parking lot down the road and maybe have a dual use. I have not hired an architect or anybody yet because I really need to get 251 W. Otterman St. down. I can't raze 259 because I won't have a place for business and you won't be real happy with me putting in a trailer in there, as long as I have a place to do business and have a place so I won't have to forfeit my dealer's license. But 251 needs to be razed.

Kathy Cerra: We wouldn't have to forfeit the dealer's license or put it in a temporary hold if we were allowed to put a temporary trailer to operate from. In the state of Pennsylvania you have to have an office area in order to do business as a used car business. I would truly love to take them both down in one setting but that would have to be contingent on you allowing us to put a temporary trailer somewhere on our property. Behind the 259 the old gas station there is a gravel lot that the sewage authority worked out of while they were putting that holding tank in and it is all gravel and that could be a place to put a temporary trailer in there and allow that while a new construction for a building could take place. We are not sure how this will all work. That's why we are here so you can tell us what we need to do. Truly our intent would be to take both properties down and then start fresh from there. We have to have an office space to work from.

Sal Cerra: The office space would have to have plumbing and a bathroom and it could be a temporary thing.

Kathy Cerra: How you can rent those temporary trailers for office space but we have to know we can do that or otherwise we can't conduct our business.

Sal Cerra: We will go for one then while we build on that site, whatever.

Kathy Cerra: Or if you're willing to let us go to that would be great.

Steve Gifford: I can't speak for the City but I would imagine that if there is a plan in place and to do both at the same time because you have your construction crews they have the equipment there, I would imagine that if they would be willing to work with you so you can keep your business and also complete the project as you intend. Is that an accurate statement Barb?

Barbara Ciampini: Yes. That's the truth.

Pete Ciccaglione: I would think that you would realize some economies by razing both of them.

Sal Cerra: The asphalt would all be ripped up by the machines. I would rather do it one time.

Pete Ciccaglione: The only thing that you need to do though is the City I'm sure, something could be placed there on a temporary basis. If it's 6 months to a year, but you almost need to have in place a whole plan and have all your approvals done and your soil tested there to make sure there's no contamination. Let's say you raze it and you go to build and you find out there is some contamination on the property. Then maybe you wouldn't build there then what?

Sal Cerra: I know what you're saying. It would be great to raze both of them but we would have to get a plan approved by the board or whatever. My thoughts are why fight City Hall, just raze the buildings put in a parking lot and a new façade on the gas station and just do business. It would really be nice to have something for the kids down the road and something nice and something permanent.

Steve Gifford: I would like to say is, an individual board member not speaking for anybody else would like to offer their comments, I understand what you're trying to do and in the big picture that area is an area that we are looking at to redevelop for a redevelopment project. The other end of the block we've been marketing for a hotel. If that project does not move forward we have other individuals who would like to do some other commercial/residential use there. How I see it is that what you guys are looking to do here is an improvement and it could be a very nice bookend to that block and maybe with some of the property that is already for sale in the middle of the block, someone comes in and razes those, too or renovates them and then it becomes a very nice extension of our downtown leading up to the hospital. I support the plan to raze the buildings and make an improvement but the only thing I have a concern is representing the citizens of Greensburg and our property owners. I'm having a better understanding of your end plan, but I need to see it so we can say yes we agree to raze them and let it sit vacant for a period of time and go ahead and let you guys build what you want that is a nice addition to the Gateway in that area. That is my thought, I'd like to have a little more understanding of what the final use will be and what the project will look like, the height the setback of the building those different issues.

Sal Cerra: The height would be a one story building. I don't need a two story building. If it needs to be an L shape, there are other issues; there is a little alley between.

Barbara Ciampini: Yes, there is an alley between these two building's right here, (pointing to the screen)

Lee Calisti: Is that a real alley or a paper alley?

Barbara Ciampini: It's a real alley. It would have to be properly abandoned.

Sal Cerra: Yes, proper abandonment and I would have to give the alley that I own behind there to the City. It's just a mess

Kathy Cerra: It's very confusing because apparently at one time, many years ago the property between our two buildings was a public alley. The property behind the gas station that goes all the way down to College Ave. that is an alley that is paved by the City but behind our gas station we own that part of the alley, it's on our deed. So technically we own that alley.

Sal Cerra: I don't want that alley. There is some red tape, but if 251 W. Otterman Street does not come down on its own it needs demolished.

Barbara Ciampini: What's your time frame?

Kathy Cerra: As soon as possible.

Sal Cerra: I had to get the asbestos thing in there and that is done. I do have a contractor that is willing to do the job. I was going to do them about a year ago but got hit with the HARB thing but I'll just keep doing business out of the little garage and knock the big one down and put a new façade on it but I'll be more than happy to raze them both. I will put up a nice building.

Barbara Ciampini: Do you think that in the next 30 days that if we tabled this request for a demolition and within the next 30 days you could come up with something to a little bit more of what Steve is saying that he would like to have before we actually give approval for demolition? We need to what you're thinking and what we're thinking you are thinking might be something totally different and you can provide the board with something along those lines.

Kathy Cerra: It's going to continue to be *Cerra Automotive* car lot. We have 4 boys and two of them are full time in the business right now. I haven't consulted with anybody yet on the lay out, how I would truly like to have the layout, you truly have to understand that a car lot is not some office building or anything like that, but it would be appropriate to build the building right up to the sidewalk, you need your product out at the curb so people can see it. My intention and my thoughts at this point and time if we are allowed to raze both buildings down my hope would be where the big building is the 251 W.

Otterman St. that is the one that really needs to come down as soon as possible the back part of that property is truly where I would like to construct the new building. In front of it where the doors and windows are right at the street that would be an open parking area for our customers who might perhaps come and look at the vehicles. The rest of the property would basically be a paved lot so where the gas station is that would come down and there would be nothing there but a paved lot with our products on. The building would actually be the back corner of the lot which is on my right hand side where he is pointing to right now.

Steve Gifford: So it can be close to the railroad property?

Kathy Cerra: Yes, it would be right beside there where this building is now, (pointing to the screen). The building that we would build is not going to be anywhere near as large as that is. Like I said the front of it would be paved and or with some landscaping right at the sidewalk. Some lighting there but like I said we have to consult with somebody and at least come up with some type of drawing so you can truly understand what our intentions are. It will be a much smaller building. The front area will be the office that is required by the State the back half of that building would probably be a garage type area and it has two garage doors on it so we can pull cars in and clean them up and detail them, that kind of thing. The front part of the building is the part that you're going to see that will be eye appealing eye pleasing it's just the matter of finding a design.

Barbara Ciampini: You're on the right path.

Steve Gifford: Any other comments or questions?

Lee Calisti: To make their demolition contingent upon us seeing a design, while I know it's great to see that but they are bound to come here regardless so I don't understand why we need to make that a contingency. If the building is in the state it's in, I mean it may be helpful to get Les to make it official.

Barbara Ciampini: No, believe me he knows.

Nancy Stewart: Is there a law against a trailer?

Barbara Ciampini: Not as a temporary structure during construction. We have had them all over town in recent years during construction projects.

Lee Calisti: But I think if it comes down, in a way it's a shame but it's in that bad of shape. I don't see why holding them up is, what is the benefit with holding them up? They are going to have to come before us anyway.

Pete Ciccaglione: I agree, if they want to put up a new building take them both out at the same time.

Lee Calisti: It's unfortunate to see them come down but there is not enough to save.

Kathy Cerra: And honestly it will be nice and cleaned up between Otterman and Pittsburgh St and definitely an improvement.

Lee Calisti: Well, whatever design you come up with we are going to get a chance to talk about that independently regardless.

Nancy Stewart: When this white building comes down will you see into the Rua's side door right there? (pointing to the screen)

Sal Cerra: That goes back about 10 feet.

Nancy Stewart: Right, so you go in that underpass there and parking is up against this building.

Sal Cerra: It's paved right to the building and that is going to be an issue and I don't know how we are going to deal with that. My thoughts are to put an L shaped building off of that because I don't think you're going to get them to do their façade unless someone buys them out. That's on them; they're not good neighbors anyhow.

Lee Calisti: What you're doing is not going to cause any harm to them.

Sal Cerra: No. You will be able to see the Rua building.

Lee Calisti: There are things that can be done to address that, landscaping, signage, that can be dealt with. You're not going to impact them anyway.

Nancy Stewart: It's not a partywall?

Lee Calisti: No.

Barbara Ciampini: Not like the church issue.

Sal Cerra: 251 W. Otterman Street is just in bad shape and 259 would be great if we come up with a plan and while we're there knock it down too. You know what I mean? Then you guys approve it and if the car lot does not work out in 10 years and we want to open up a whatever. It is a good corner and is zoned in Greensburg and if this HARB wasn't in there, I understand it and your cleaning up Greensburg.

Kathy Cerra: My intentions are to clean up our properties.

Lee Calisti: You'll find that it's our goal also, we will work with you.

Steve Gifford: Any other comments or questions? I would add that I understand what you said and the HARB would have final say on the building but I want to make sure that we are all on the same page and it's not doing this in piece mill, razing the building then

coming back and visiting the new building separately. I am comfortable now that we've had this conversation and what your intent is and how you do your part in that area of Greensburg. And letting the board members share that thought, I'm comfortable with moving forward with approval of demolition and Mayor and Council can approve that and getting you guys moving on your project and revisiting it at some point.

Nancy Stewart: So you're saying, permitting both of them to be razed?

Steve Gifford: Yes.

Lee Calisti: I agree with that and I understand what you're saying Steve and they need to get the process rolling.

Sal Cerra: We have to; we will get an architect involved or something. We will come to the meeting and whatever.

Barbara Ciampini: As it stands right now, , if the board does give you the recommendation to raze both buildings, Mayor and Council will have this on their June 14th agenda and you can secure a demolition permit on June the 15th.

Steve Gifford: I think we have consensus so can I have a motion to approve their request for demolition of 251 W. Otterman and 259 W. Otterman Street?

Nancy Stewart: I make that motion.

Pete Ciccaglione: I second the motion.

All were in favor.

Item #4-101 Ehalt Street-Property Owner-Westmoreland Cultural Trust-Applicant- *The Supper Club*-Project-signage

Debra Driggers: I am with *McAndrews Restaurant* and we are the company that is moving into the train station. We are excited and nervous to be here. Everything is coming along very well and it's just like everything else, I find out in a week that I need everything so—here I am.

Barbara Ciampini: I know I rushed on this but I wanted to make sure you would have a sign for your restaurant.

Debra Driggers: That's right. I really got rushed on this. I went to a meeting with Mike Langer and he said you better get with the HARB and all of a sudden it was here. I wasn't thinking about the HARB. I was thinking about the City. I knew I had time with the City. Anyway, there has been a change to the sign and I hope that you understand, the design of the sign is exactly the same but when the price came about with doing a wood sign it became prohibitive so we have changed the materials to be a compressed panel, it's like a plastic and it will actually be more durable than the wood. We also changed companies also.

Steve Gifford: The design is the same right?

Debra Driggers: Yes and the size is the same.

Steve Gifford: That's all we care about.

Debra Driggers: Okay, that's why I stepped out because I wanted to make sure that I went over it with the sign company and they are from Grove City. The sign company is called *Custom Signs* and they have done a lot of signs around this area. I do have his certificate. The sign will be exactly the same and the post will be a vinyl. We are real pleased with the price.

Barbara Ciampini: It will be in the same location?

Debra Driggers: Yes, the same location, right in front of the brick and we went with a burgundy color with gold so it will match. It won't be three (3) dimensional and actually that is where the cost was coming from. We have champagne taste but our wallet is not the same. When we designed it we thought this is what we wanted but again when the price came in it was like oh gee that is not in our budget.

Steve Gifford: Will it just be flat?

Debra Driggers: It will be flat. I have been assured that we will be happy with the type of sign that we are going to get.

Barbara Ciampini: Same colors and everything else?

Debra Driggers: Same colors, like I said to match the brick. Barb was down and saw the things were doing.

Nancy Stewart: Will there be lighting on this sign at night?

Debra Driggers: There will be lighting and it will come from the front. There are not a lot of options putting lighting and coming out, I've had an electrician there for three (3) weeks we really had a lot of things to do. That is one of the things I didn't want to do.

Barbara Ciampini: It's tough with a historic building.

Nancy Stewart: It's just a ground light?

Debra Driggers: It's just a ground light and you can see it. Our electrician has worked on a lot of historic buildings in Pittsburgh and he feels this type of light will light the sign and won't take away from the building and we won't have to put anything on the building. That is why we didn't want to attach the sign to the building.

Steve Gifford: I will comment that I prefer your original design first with the razed letters and the fork and knife and the circle that was very sharp.

Debra Driggers: I wanted it to but the money is not there. The painter has been there for six (6) weeks and the electrician has been there for three (3). We are doing a lot of work there

Barbara Ciampini: They really are and it's really coming along nicely.

Debra Driggers: It's going to look great. It's going to be back to the way it should look and everyone working on the project is very excited. I just love being in there, it's a good feeling and we are getting new carpeting---new everything!

Barbara Ciampini: It's a heartwarming place.

Debra Driggers: Yes it is. And it had some problems and after twelve (12) years you have to do some things.

Steve Gifford: The last image we have here, Deb, is that where the sign is positioned on the property?

Debra Driggers: It will be moved back a little bit, it will be centered.

Steve Gifford: It will be in the yard and under the entrance way there?

Debra Driggers: Yes.

Steve Gifford: Any other comments or questions? I think we understand the change that is being offered from the raised elements. Can we have a motion to approve the amended sign?

Barbara Ciampini: I make that motion.

Autumn DeLellis: I second the motion.

All were in favor.

Nancy Stewart: Do you have an opening date?

Debra Driggers: We do. We are aiming for June 11th and hopefully our liquor license will get here by that time. If not, we will look at June 16th.

Steve Gifford: I need a motion to adjourn.

Barbara Ciampini: I make a motion to adjourn.

Lee Calisti: I second the motion.

Meeting adjourned at 5:30